IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

THURSDAY, THE 29TH MARCH 2007 / 8TH CHAITHRA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 443 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.32853/2006 Dated 11/12/2006

.....

CONTEMPT PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN WPC

GLADYS D'CRUZ, W/O.LATE D'CRUZ,
AGED 56 YEARS, HOUSE NO.39/6170-BC,
KATTUNILATH HOUSE, PERUMANOOR, KOCHI-15.

BY ADV. SRI.DENIZEN KOMATH

RESPONDENT: IST RESPONDENT IN WPC

V.RAJU, (FATHER'S NAME AND AGE NOT
KNOWN), SECRETARY, CORPORATION OF KOCHI,
ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV.MR.S.RAMESH BABU, S.C.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29/03/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE,J.

- -

Cont.Case(Civil) No.443 of 2007

- -

Dated: 29th March, 2007

JUDGMENT

It is submitted on behalf of the Standing Counsel for the respondent-Corporation that in pursuance to Annexure-A1 judgment, stop memo was issued to the party respondent. But it was noticed that even before stop memo was issued, the party respondent had completed construction of the building to a very considerable extent. Subsequently, notice has been issued to both sides on the complaint submitted by the petitioner and a hearing was conducted on 19.3.2007. Under these circumstances, I am of the view that it is too early to initiate contempt action against the respondent. The Contempt of Court Case will stand disposed of directing the respondent-Corporation to pass orders on the basis of the hearing already conducted on 19.3.2007 and communicate the orders to both sides. Till such time orders are passed and communicate the orders to the parties, the respondent-Corporation will not issue completion certificate.

srd **PIUS C.KURIAKOSE, JUDGE**